

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF  
TAMIR OHEB.

No. 48261

FILED

DEC 11 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Bloom*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER IMPOSING RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

This is a petition under SCR 114 to reciprocally discipline attorney Tamir Oheb, based on discipline imposed upon him in California. Oheb has not responded to the petition. Oheb is currently temporarily suspended under SCR 111, based on his conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea agreement, of two felony counts of accepting referrals of personal injury clients with reckless disregard for whether the referring party or the referred clients intended to make false or fraudulent insurance claims.<sup>1</sup>

The California Supreme Court approved the California Bar Court's decision that Oheb be disbarred. The discipline was based on Oheb's violation of the California equivalents of Nevada Rule of Professional Conduct 1.15 (safekeeping property), 5.3 (responsibilities regarding nonlawyer assistants), 5.4 (professional independence of a


<sup>1</sup>In re: Discipline of Tamir Oheb, Docket No. 39086 (Order of Temporary Suspension, February 5, 2002).


*MW*  
Effective Date: December 11, 2006  
Bar Number: 5444


lawyer), and 8.4(b) (criminal conduct).<sup>2</sup> The Bar Court gave little weight to mitigating circumstances. Several aggravating circumstances were found, including multiple acts of misconduct, harm to Oheb's clients and third-parties, motivation for personal gain, and lack of restitution to the insurance companies that had paid false claims.


SCR 114(4) provides that this court shall impose identical reciprocal discipline unless the attorney demonstrates or this court finds that one of three exceptions applies. None of the exceptions applies to this case, and so we grant the petition for reciprocal discipline. We therefore disbar Oheb from the practice of law in Nevada. Oheb and the state bar shall comply with the requirements of SCR 115.


It is so ORDERED.


  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Rose


  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Becker

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Maupin

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Douglas

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Hardesty

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

<sup>2</sup>One of the California rules found to have been violated, which prohibits a lawyer from employing a disbarred, suspended, resigned or involuntarily inactive lawyer unless certain conditions are met, has no Nevada equivalent.

cc: Rob W. Bare, Bar Counsel  
Wayne Blevins, Executive Director  
Tamir Oheb  
Perry Thompson, U.S. Supreme Court Admissions Office