


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
JANA GILL, BAR NO. 8465

No. 64796

FILED

FEB 25 2014

TRACE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER IMPOSING RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

This is a petition under SCR 114 to reciprocally discipline attorney Jana Gill, based on discipline imposed upon her in California. Gill did not self-report her California discipline as required by SCR 114(1), and she has not responded to the petition. *See* SCR 114(3). The California Supreme Court entered an order disbaring Gill from the practice of law in California on October 29, 2013.

Gill's California discipline was based on her violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 6106, which is essentially equivalent to RPC 8.4(c) (engaging in misconduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation). Specifically, Gill misappropriated \$120,000 from a trust for which she was the trustee. Although she agreed to make restitution to the trust once her theft was

discovered, she only made two restitution payments and then ceased making restitution. Gill stipulated to the facts and agreed that she violated Section 6106 of the California Business and Professions Code. In mitigation, the California panel noted Gill's cooperation with the proceedings. In aggravation, the hearing panel found that Gill caused significant harm to a client and was indifferent to making restitution.


SCR 114(4) provides that this court shall impose identical reciprocal discipline unless the attorney demonstrates, or this court finds, either: (a) there was a lack of due process in the other jurisdiction; (b) the decision of the other jurisdiction lacked fairness due to infirmity of evidence; (c) the misconduct deserves a punishment substantially different than that imposed by the other jurisdiction; or (d) the acts do not constitute misconduct in Nevada. Discipline elsewhere is res judicata, as SCR 114(5) also provides, "[i]n all other respects, a final adjudication in another jurisdiction that an attorney has engaged in misconduct conclusively establishes the misconduct for the purposes of a disciplinary proceeding in this state."

None of the exceptions are present in this case.¹ Accordingly, we grant the petition for reciprocal discipline. Gill is hereby irrevocably


¹Gill is also delinquent in paying her Nevada bar dues, and has been suspended in Nevada since 2009.


disbarred from the practice of law in the state of Nevada. SCR 102(1).
Gill and the Nevada State Bar shall comply with SCR 115 and SCR 121.1.


It is so ORDERED.



_____, C.J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Pickering


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta

cc: David A. Clark, Bar Counsel
Kimberly K. Farmer, Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Jana Gill
Perry Thompson, Admissions Office, United States Supreme Court